

Dean Moor Solar Farm

Draft Statement of Common Ground with Natural England

on behalf of FVS Dean Moor Limited

26 August 2025
Prepared by: Stantec UK Ltd

PINS Ref: EN010155 Document Ref: D2.14

Deadline 2 Revision: 1





DEAN MOOR SOLAR FARM DRAFT STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND WITH NATURAL ENGLAND PLANNING INSPECTORATE REFERENCE EN010155 PREPARED ON BEHALF OF FVS DEAN MOOR LIMITED

The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009 5(2)(Q)

Project Ref:	EN010155/SoCG/NE
Status	Draft
Issue/ Rev:	1
Date:	26 August 2025
Submitted at:	Deadline 2
Document Reference:	D2.14



Contents

1	Introd	uction	1
	1.1	Status of the Statement of Common Ground	1
	1.2	Purpose of this document	1
	1.3	Terminology	1
2	Currer	nt Position	2
	2.1	Position of NE and the Applicant	2
	2.2	Matters agreed	1
	2.3	Matters under discussion	8
	2.4	Matters not agreed	9
3	Recor	d of Engagement	10
	3.1	Summary of consultation and engagement	10
4	Signat	tures	14
Tal	bles		
Tabl	e 2.2 Ma	atters agreedtters under discussion	8



1 Introduction

1.1 Status of the Statement of Common Ground

- 1.1.1 This draft Statement of Common Ground ('dSoCG') has been produced for FVS Dean Moor Limited ('the Applicant') to support the application for a prepared in respect of the Development Consent Order (the 'DCO application') to the Secretary of State for Energy Security and Net Zero ('SoS') for Dean Moor Solar Farm ('the Proposed Development') located between the villages of Gilgarran and Branthwaite in West Cumbria (the 'Site'), which is situated within the administrative area of Cumberland Council ('the Council').
- 1.1.2 This dSoCG has been prepared by (1) the Applicant and (2) Natural England (NE). It is agreed that this dSoCG is an accurate description of the matters raised by NE and the current status of each matter. A high-level overview of the engagement undertaken since September 2023 is summarised in section 3.

1.2 Purpose of this document

1.2.1 The dSoCG has been produced to confirm to the Examining Authority (ExA) where agreement has been reached between the parties, and where agreement has not (yet) been reached. In the planning process, SoCGs are an established means of allowing all parties to identify and focus on specific matters that may need to be addressed during the Examination. The dSoCG is a 'live' document that will be updated by the parties as matters progress.

1.3 Terminology

1.3.1 In the matters table in Section 2 of this SoCG, 'Matter agreed' indicates where issues are resolved. 'Matter under discussion' indicates where points will be the subject of ongoing discussion wherever possible to resolve, or refine, the extent of disagreement between the parties. And, 'Matter not agreed' indicates agreement on the matter could not be reached following extensive engagement.



2 Current Position

2.1 Position of NE and the Applicant

- 2.1.1 The Applicant and NE have had positive engagement on a number of issues, set out in Table 2.1 and 2.2 below covering a range of topics.
- 2.1.2 One matter is currently still under discussion relating to the circumstances where a Peat Management Plan would be required and the conclusions of the Peat Survey Report (see Table 2.2). The Applicant and NE are confident of resolving this matter during the Examination.
- 2.1.3 The Applicant has provided a response to the matters raised by NE within their Relevant Representation (RR) [RR-009] within the 'Applicant Response to Relevant Representations' (ARRR) [REP1-002]. This response, and the points raised by NE's RR are reflected within this dSoCG.
- 2.1.4 The shared position set out below within Table 2.1 '*Matters agreed*' is the agreed position. However, the wording may be refined following further engagement between the parties and these updates will be presented in the next version of this dSoCG.



2.2 Matters agreed

2.2.1 Table 2.1 below details the matters agreed with NE.

Table 2.1 Matters agreed

Ref	Topic	Shared position	Application Ref
NE1	Scope of surveys and survey report as informed by the Applicant's engagement with NE, and range of surveys which have been conducted to recognised methodologies.		ES Ch8 Biodiversity [APP- 038] and associated appendices [APP-150 – APP- 155]
NE2	Updating of the relevant surveys	It is agreed that it is appropriate to secure the updating of relevant environmental assessments to ensure an-up-to date ecological baseline in advance of construction and to inform the detailed design and the mitigation proposed within the control documents. It is agreed that a suitably qualified ecologist (or team of ecologists) will undertake further surveys pre-commencement where necessary across the Site to update the surveys carried out to date. An updated PEA will inform the need for updating species-specific surveys in advance of construction. The updated surveys will include an assessment of the habitats on Site and appropriate species surveys to ensure that proposed environmental management measures and risk avoidance measures are fit for purpose. Information derived from these surveys will inform the CEMP and associated (Risk Avoidance Method Statements (RAMS) pertaining to ecological receptors, Species Protection Plans ('SPP') and toolbox talks that will be facilitated by the Ecological Clerk of Works (ECoW) (OCEMP 5.4.1). The final CEMP is secured as a DCO Requirement. The OCEMP includes the requirement that final CEMP will be informed by consultation with Natural England and other stakeholders for relevant matters in advance of submission to the Council to discharge the Requirement. The Applicant can confirm that the DCO Requirement will be updated to confirm a consultee role for Natural England. NE note that any CEMP that is produced and subsequently approved will be a 'live'	ES Ch8 Biodiversity [APP-038] ES Appx 5.1 Construction Environmental Management Plan [APP-108] ES Appx 5.3 Soil Management Plan [APP-110]



Ref	Topic	Shared position	Application Ref
		document and will be updated as required and secured by a DCO Requirement (4).	
NE3	Shadow Habitat Regulation Assessment (sHRA) methodology	There is agreement on the overall scope of the SHRA and the sites taken forward to the Screening Assessment and Appropriate Assessment. NE have agreed on the methodology and scope of the Appropriate Assessment with regards to the Solway Firth SPA and River Derwent and Lake Bassenthwaite SAC. ES Ch8 Biodical States of the Shape	
NE4	SHRA conclusions and mitigation of potential impacts on the River Derwent and Bassenthwaite Lake SAC	It is agreed that the conclusions of the SHRA are sound subject to compliance with the final CEMP, SMP, LEMP, and Operational Management Plan (OMP), which are to be substantially in accordance with the outline versions. It is acknowledged that the relevant measures within the final control documents will require additional consideration and review by NE as part of the process to discharge the relevant DCO Requirements. As per NE2, it is agreed that final design and control documents will reflect an appropriate scope of updated surveys and assessment. It is agreed that relevant measures in the final control documents will require consideration and review by Natural England as part of the process to discharge their DCO Requirements. As per NE2, it is agreed that the final design and control documents will reflect an appropriate scope of updated assessment. Outline pollution prevention measures have been provided in the OCEMP which covers the main mitigation measures NE would expect for work in proximity to watercourses, and utilising appropriate Best Practice Guidance. NE note that no new water crossings are proposed for the scheme. NE agrees with the need for an ECoW who's role will include ensuring the adherence to the measures outlined in the CEMP to ensure no deterioration to the water quality of the SAC downstream. The ES states (8.6.11) that prior to works commencing, pre-construction surveys for otter, will be carried out by the ECoW to identify any change in Site use, including the potential for otter holts to have been created. It is noted that the Applicant has acknowledged that pre-construction surveys, Species Protection Plans, and RAMS are secured by the OCEMP,	ES Appx 8.7 Shadow Habitat Regulations Assessment [APP-156] ES Ch8 Biodiversity [APP-038] ES Appx 5.1 Construction Environmental Management Plan [APP-108] ES Appx 5.3 Soil Management Plan [APP-110] ES Appx 3.1 Outline Operational Management Plan [APP-107] ES Appx 7.7 Outline Landscape Ecological Management Plan [APP-145]



Ref	Topic	Shared position	Application Ref
		which is secured by a DCO Requirement.	
NE5	SHRA conclusions and mitigation of potential impacts on the Solway Firth SPA	It is agreed that given the wider availability of suitable alternative habitat, the ecological dependence on the fields within the Site for loafing is not likely to be significant, and the potential for birds from the surrounding areas to use the Morecambe Bay and Duddon Estuary SPA further south, that the Proposed Development will not, in itself, have an adverse effect on the integrity of the SPA. It is agreed that the assessment of in-combination effects has been appropriately considered based on the information that is publicly available from other known potential schemes, including the former Lostrigg Solar DCO (which has since been withdrawn as a DCO). Should that or any other scheme come forward under the DCO or TCPA regime they would be considered on their own merits and would have to account for the Proposed Development (if consented) in their assessment and mitigation proposals. Based on the number of herring gull recorded at the Lostrigg site, from raw data counts supplied for some months over winter, and the wide availability of similar loafing and foraging habitat available Natural England agree with the conclusion that there will be no incombination adverse effects on the integrity of the SPA.	ES Appx 8.7 Shadow Habitat Regulations Assessment [APP-156] ES Ch8 Biodiversity [APP-038]
NE6	Landscape and Ecological Enhancement, Management, and Monitoring	Enhancement will be achieved through new and improved vegetation and Site management, including monitoring to achieve conservative Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) outcomes. It is agreed that the approach established by the LSP and OLEMP provide an appropriate foundation for habitat and biodiversity improvement for the Site as a whole. It is agreed that the OLEMP (at section 1.6) provides the appropriate detail of roles and responsibilities, oversight, and procedures for third party during the operational period, . subject to NE's review of the detail in the final LEMP to be provided as a DCO Requirement. To secure green infrastructure enhancement and BNG, a LEMP should be in effect across the Proposed Development's operational life. To that end it is agreed that it is appropriate for the LEMP to be a 'live' document that will be updated every five years based on annual monitoring, and will need to support third party monitoring requirements, Existing management measures and mitigation outcomes will not be amended without the prior	ES Ch7 Landscape and Visual [APP-039] ES Appx 7.7 Outline Landscape Ecological Management Plan [APP-145] ES Fig 7.6 Landscape Strategy Plan [APP-088] ES Ch8 Biodiversity [APP-038] ES Appx 8.8 Biodiversity Net Gain Report [APP-157]



Ref	Topic	Shared position	Application Ref
		agreement of the Council. These OLEMP commitments will ensure that the management provided by the LEMP can be responsive to the Site's evolving environment and the changing climate while supporting relevant stakeholder oversight of BNG delivery. Natural England are supportive of the enhancements detailed in the oLEMP particularly the proposed enhancements to Dean Moor County Wildlife Site and the proposed enhancement of watercourses.	
NE7	Biodiversity Net Gain Calculation	It is agreed that while mandatory BNG does not apply to NSIPs, the BNG Report, establishes that the Proposed Development could deliver BNG of 114.69% for habitats, 44.84% for hedgerows, and 12.56% for watercourses (although note that these are indicative figures based on the LSP and OLEMP). It is also recognised that BNG metric outcomes could change based on an up-to-date assessment of baseline habitats in pre-construction, and it is therefore appropriate to take a conservative approach in establishing minimum commitments at the application stage so long as this does not unduly constrain more ambitious outcomes being delivered. The LSP and OLEMP provide a strong foundation for securing a significant minimum BNG based on detailed design, which would be at least 60% for habitats, 20% for hedgerows, and 5% for watercourses (see para 1.2.3 of the OLEMP). The Applicant aims to exceed these targets, which is supported by a commitment within the OLEMP to re-calculate the BNG based on the final design and LEP, with the final LEMP to reflect the management requirements to deliver the outcome of this calculation. Which will be no less than the minimum commitments of the OLEMP. The LEMP will be formally updated every five years, will include annual monitoring, and will support third party monitoring, all of which will provide relevant stakeholder oversight of the Proposed Development's progress in relation to the BNG outcomes that will be established via the LEP and LEMP DCO Requirements. Natural England welcomes the inclusion of these calculations and note that the proposed gains are significantly above the intended 10% mandatory gain. However, they acknowledged that mandatory net gain does not apply to NSIP projects.	ES Appx 7.7 Outline Landscape Ecological Management Plan [APP-145] ES Appx 8.8 Biodiversity Net Gain Report [APP-157] ES Fig 7.6 Landscape Strategy Plan [APP-088]



Ref	Topic	Shared position	Application Ref
NE8	solutions solutions approach to drainage that maintains existing greenfield runoff characteristics as set out in the Flood Risk Assessment and Outline Drainage Strategy (FRA) (ODS) can be supported subject to the detailed design conforming to the and commitments of the ODS and OLEMP, and the final DS (DCO Requirement 8) being sufficiently comprehensive and including appropriate supporting evidence.		ES Appx 2.4 Flood Risk Assessment and Outline Drainage Strategy [AS-013] ES Appx 7.7 Outline Landscape Ecological Management Plan [APP-145]
NE9	Agricultural Land Classification Survey results	It is agreed that the full Site has been subject to ALC surveys conducted to the correct methodologies and standards to determine the quality of the agricultural land within the Order Limits. As confirmed by the ALC survey outcomes the land is of subgrade 3b or lower, meaning it does not meet the threshold for BMV designation under the National Planning Policy Framework. As such, there is no loss of high-grade agricultural resource, and no additional safeguards or mitigation measures are required in this regard	ES Appx 2.8 Agricultural Land Classification Report [APP- 105]
NE10	Soil resource mitigation and conservation	It is agreed that a Soil Management Plan (SMP) is an appropriate means by which to provide dedicated management of soil resource conservation during the construction phase. The outline Soil Management Plan (OSMP) details soil handling and restoration measures based on site specific soil information and sets out specific mitigation measures with reference to the best practice guidance (Defra Construction Code of Practice). It is agreed in principle that as long as soil resource management best practice is carried forward for maintenance activities in the OMP, alongside good ecological management via the LEMP, the Proposed Development has potential to provide benefits for soil health over the operational phase. It is understood that the OSMP, while primarily targeted to construction effects, represents the foundation of soil resource management for the Proposed Development as a whole, with the final SMP providing the detailed baseline for construction management that will be taken	ES Ch5 Construction and Decommissioning Methodology and Phasing [APP-036] ES Appx 5.3 Outline Soil Management Plan [APP-110] ES Appx 5.1 Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan [APP-108] ES Appx 3.1 Outline Operational Management Plan [APP-107]



Ref	Topic	Shared position	Application Ref
	forward as appropriate. Subject to ongoing discussions regarding the management of areas of deep peat within the Site boundary, additional measures may be required within the OSMP, or a separate Peat Management Plan produced. Please see Table 2.2 for further detail.		ES Appx 5.4 Framework Decommissioning Plan [APP-111] ES Appx 7.7 Outline Landscape Ecological Management Plan [APP-145]
NE11	and restoration effects on soils Management Plan (FDMP), is appropriate for this stage. It is agreed that the FDMP ensures that the final DMP document suite will include a Management Plan (FDMP) appropriate for this stage. ES Appx 5 Decommis		ES Appx 5.3 Outline Soil Management Plan [APP-110] ES Appx 5.4 Framework Decommissioning Management Plan [APP-111]
NE12	Approach to tree protections including Ancient Woodland & Ancient/Veteran Trees	It is agreed that while there is no ancient woodland present on the Site, there is a replanted ancient woodland (Lime Kiln Wood) adjoining (west of) the north of Area C. Trees on the Site have been surveyed, and are detailed in the Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) This includes one Veteran Tree on the south west boundary of Area C. The inclusion of a 15m buffer between the replanted ancient woodland and generating station infrastructure to within the Site (as secured by the Work Plans) is in accordance with Natural England (in partnership with the Forestry Commission) standing advice with regards to Ancient Woodland & Ancient/Veteran Trees. It is agreed that the Proposed Development includes no proposals for removal of woodland or hedgerows, although it is acknowledged that works to trees and some minor loss of hedgerow for widening of existing gaps may be required, with full details to be provided in the final CEMP, and with details of any compensation planting in the LEP and LEMP	ES Appx 5.1 Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan [APP-108] ES Appx 7.8 Arboricultural Impact Assessment [APP-146] ES Ch8 Biodiversity [APP-038]



Ref	Topic	Shared position	Application Ref
	The application includes appropriate commitments to safeguard trees and hedges during construction, as set out in the Aboricultural Management Plan (AMP) at section 6 of the OCEMP. It is agreed that the CEMP shall be informed by an updating arboricultural assessment to ensure the detailed design and final CEMP reflect the up-to-date condition o trees and hedgerows (and their Root Protection Areas (RPA).		
NE13	Nationally Designated Landscapes	During construction, operation, and decommissioning the proposed development will have a very low magnitude of impact on the character, setting, and Special Qualities of the Lake District National Park.	ES Ch7 – Landscape and Visual Impact [APP-039]



2.3 Matters under discussion

2.3.1 Table 2.2 below details the matters under discussion with NE. The italicized text has been quoted from NE's comments on a previous draft of the dSoCG.

Table 2.2 Matters under discussion

Ref	Topic	Applicant's Position	NE's Position	Application Ref
NE14	Protection of peat resources within the Site	The Applicant recognises the importance of protecting peat resources, and NE's interest in the protection of peat within the Site. No infrastructure is proposed to be located within 10m of peat, and no peat excavation is proposed. The application is informed by a Peat Survey Report (PSR) which sets out the results of the peat survey. Figure 1.4 of the PSR maps the confirmed locations of peat within the Site. This has informed the approach to design and controls on activities which the Applicant considers to be appropriate to protect the peat resources across the Site. This includes commitments to avoid (exclude) identified peat resource areas from the final design, and to include in final control documents, such as the CEMP and SMP for construction and the LEMP and OMP for operations, mitigation, and management measures for activities on the Site that could otherwise have effects on the areas of peat. A full response to NE's RR on this matter is set out within 'Applicant Response to Relevant Representations', which confirms that, although peat is within Work Areas 3 and 6, the inclusions of peat within these areas is purely to enable any habitat enhancements or protection measures (such as fencing) in the vicinity of these areas of peat. However, it is	The embedded measures and best practice for the protection of peat soils should be set out in detail in a Peatland Management Plan (PMP) in line with the SEPA Guidance. Although aimed at windfarms in Scotland, the principles of this guidance apply to all developments on peat. The reuse of the surplus peat resources should be secured in the PMP, separately to the re-use of mineral soil resources which should be secured in the SMP. The impact on the hydrology of identified areas of peat needs to be considered within the PMP and the potential for the restorability of the peat within the Site. NE submitted further comments to the Applicant on the 21.08.2025 which are currently being considered in relation to the conclusions of the Peat Survey	ES Appx 10.3 Peat Survey Report [APP-173] ES Appx 5.3 Outline Soil Management Plan [APP- 110] ES Appx 5.1 Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan [APP- 108] ES Appx 3.1 Outline Operational Management Plan [APP-107] ES Appx 5.4 Framework Decommissioning Plan [APP-111] ES Appx 7.7 Outline Landscape Ecological Management Plan [APP- 145] ES Fig 7.6 Landscape



Ref	Topic	Applicant's Position	NE's Position	Application Ref
	acknowledged that Work No. 3 includes elements which have the potential to compress peat (such as access tracks as opposed to vegetation planting). The Applicant is confident that any works which would have the potential to compress peat in the vicinity of these known areas of peat could be avoided.		Report.	Strategy Plan [APP-088]
		In the unlikely event such works were proposed in these areas, then a Peatland Management Plan would be prepared, to include the information included in "Annex 1: Peat Management Plan" of SEPA's "Guidance on the Assessment of Peat Volumes, Reuse of Excavated Peat and the Minimisation of Waste" guidance. The Applicant is keen to avoid a requirement to prepare such a Plan, if only habitat enhancements or protection measures are being established in these areas (which themselves are for the purpose of protecting peat).		
		The Applicant is reviewing the further comments received from NE on the Peat Survey Report, and intends to reflect this discussion in a future iteration of the dSoCG.		

2.4 Matters not agreed

2.4.1 There are currently no matters which have not been agreed, or which are not anticipated to be agreed during Examination, between Natural England and the Applicant.



3 Record of Engagement

3.1 Summary of consultation and engagement

3.1.1 The table below summarises communication in relation to progressing this SoCG.

Table 3.1 Summary of consultation and engagement with NE

Ref	Date	Engagement Type & Record	Key topics and outcomes
NE.A	05.09.23	NE input into EIA Scoping Opinion (ES Appx 2.2 EIA Scoping Opinion [APP-097])	 Confirmed that the Proposed Development had not triggered an Impact Risk Zone and is unlikely to adversely impact international or national designated sites. Advised that a Habitats Regulations Assessment is necessary. Agreed that Best and Most Versatile (BMV) agricultural land can be scoped out but that soil impacts should be assessed, with provisions set out in a Soil Management Plan. Advised on the assessment of the River Derwent and Bassenthwaite Lake SAC and the River Derwent and Tributaries Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) Advised that ancient woodland should be scoped in for construction and decommissioning Advised that the ES should include the measures taken to avoid or minimise lighting impacts on biodiversity Advised that the ES should include an assessment of habitat loss, disturbance and fragmentation of modified sheep grazed grassland. Recommended that the ecological baseline is robust and justifies extent and scale of surveys, including hen harrier, and advised on assessment of species. Advised on how Dean Moor CWS has been considered as part of assessment and enhancements considered necessary.



Ref	Date	Engagement Type & Record	Key topics and outcomes
NE.B	13.09.23- 09.11.23	Emails (ES Appx 8.9 Stakeholder Engagement [APP-158])	The Applicant shared the scoping methodology for passage and wintering bird surveys for Natural England to confirm it was agreeable. Natural England's ornithologist confirmed the methodology is suitable, although a specific survey to assess hen harrier usage will be required. The Applicant requested feedback on the proposed hen harrier survey methodology. Natural England confirmed the scope of the hen harrier survey methodology was acceptable
NE.C	16.05.24	Statutory Consultation Response summarised in Table 8.3 of ES Ch8 [APP- 038]	NE advised that an SHRA would be needed to assess potential impacts on the River Derwent and Bassenthwaite Lake SAC NE advised on the scope of the SHRA, including assessment of potential disturbance of otters and Solway Firth SPA birds NE advised that pollution to designated sites should be scoped into the SHRA NE recommended nature-based solutions to manage and improve drainage on-site NE provided advice on the ALC report and requested an additional survey of un-surveyed land in the north of the Site. NE provided advice on soil handling methodologies and reinstatement of soils to their baseline ALC grade post-decommissioning NE advised on the scope of the Outline Soil Management Plan and the handling of peat
NE.D	22.11.24	Emails (ES Appx 8.9 Stakeholder Engagement [APP-158])	The Applicant confirmed that wintering bird and hen harrier surveys are complete and that no hen harriers were observed.



Ref	Date	Engagement Type & Record	Key topics and outcomes
NE.E	02.12.24	Meeting (ES Appx 8.9 Stakeholder Engagement [APP-158])	Applicant provided an update on the changes to the Proposed Development since the statutory consultation, and an overview of the engagement being undertaken.
			Discussion of each of the points raised by Natural England in their statutory consultation response, including: SHRA drainage; LEMP; dormice and great crested newt; and ALC and soil management.
			Applicant provided an overview of survey results for Phase 2 surveys, wintering birds, and hen harrier.
			Discussion of the proposals for mitigation and enhancement for biodiversity on-site.
			Discussion of Lostrigg Solar DCO and the assessment of cumulative impacts on the qualifying features of the SPA within the SHRA.
			Discussion of the BNG calculation.
			Discussion of information boards proposed around the permissive paths.
NE.F	03.12.24	Emails (ES Appx 8.9 Stakeholder Engagement [APP-158])	The Applicant shared the wintering bird survey data in order confirm whether there is any link to the Solway Firth SPA with Natural England.
			Natural England's ornithologist reviewed the bird survey results.
	21.01.25	Emails (ES Appx 8.9 Stakeholder Engagement [APP-158])	Natural England confirmed they do not have data for dormice and GCN and to contact the Cumbria Biodiversity Data Centre.
			Natural England confirmed no amendments to make to the meeting minute.
NE.G	30.01.25	Emails (ES Appx 8.9 Stakeholder Engagement [APP-158])	The Applicant enquired whether there was any comment on Appendix 8.6 Wintering Bird and Hen Harrier Survey Report. NE confirmed they had no further comment.
NE.H	05.02.25	Emails (ES Appx 8.9 Stakeholder Engagement [APP-158])	The Applicant sought to confirm if comments on Wintering Bird and Hen Harrier Report would be provided or that report was satisfactory.



Ref	Date	Engagement Type & Record	Key topics and outcomes
NE.I	10.07.25	Email	Natural England shared the draft SoCG with the Applicant and added additional rows to address the points raised in the NE RR on peat and Nationally Designated Landscapes. NE confirmed that all matters have been agreed, with the exception of the ongoing discussion on peat.
NE.J	10.07.25	Meeting and Email	NE returned the draft SoCG with comments, indicating that all matters are agreed with the exception of peat which is under discussion, and added points from the RR. NE and the Applicant met to discuss the SoCG and agreed that the Applicant would set out the approach to peat to NE's Peat Specialist to progress discussion of this matter.
NE.K	18.07.25	Email	The Applicant wrote to NE to set out the approach within the application to peat management for the consideration of the NE peat specialist.
NE.L	20.08.25	Email	NE wrote to the Applicant with comments from the Soil Specialist on the Peat Survey Report. These are currently being considered by the Applicant.



4 Signatures

4.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground is agreed upon: On behalf of Natural England Name: Signature: Date: On behalf of the Applicant: Name: Signature: Date:

